



System Assessment Report Relating to Electronic Records and Electronic Signatures; 21 CFR Part 11

System: Vision Software (Software version 4.1)



1 Procedures and Controls for Closed Systems

| Run no. | Ref. | Торіс | Question | Yes | No | Comments |
|------------|------------------|--|---|-----|----|---|
| 1.1 | <u>11.10 (a)</u> | Validation, IQ, OQ | Is the system validated? | 0 | | The operator is solely responsible for the validation of the system. The responsibility of the supplier lies in supplying systems which are capable of being validated. This is supported by the internal Metrohm quality management system which can be audited on request. |
| | | | | | | In this respect Metrohm offers a range of validation services: conformity certificates, prepared documentation for IQ and OQ, performing IQ and OQ at the operator's premises. |
| | | | | | | Standard methods for system validation (i. e. performance tests) are stored in the system. |
| 1.2 | <u>11.10 (a)</u> | Audit Trail, Change | Is it possible to discern invalid or altered records? | x | | All relevant operator entries (instrument configuration, spectra, sample selection, operations methods, results, libraries, equations, security settings) are recorded in an automatically generated audit trail with: date, time with difference to UTC (Coordinated Universal Time) and the user ID of the different operators. The audit trail is stored internally and can be copied via export function. The audit trail can be examined within the software. Input checks avoid the creation of invalid records. |
| 1.3 | <u>11.10 (b)</u> | Report, Printout, Electronic Record | Is the system capable of producing accurate and complete copies of electronic records on paper? | Х | | Reports can be printed out for each of the main categories (security, projects, and libraries) and the corresponding subcategories. |
| | | | | | | The automatic printout at the end of an analysis can be forced by system settings. In this way it can be ensured that the operator of the system can reliably track any alteration, overwriting or deletion of the data of a determination. |
| 1.4 | <u>11.10 (b)</u> | Report, Electronic Record, FDA | Is the system capable of producing accurate and complete copies of records in electronic form for inspection, review, and copying by the FDA? | х | | Reports can be saved as PDF or in a secured MS Excel format (i. e. password protected MS Excel file). Automatic report creation can be forced after an analysis and can be saved as PDF. |
| | | | | | | This automatic printout at the end of an analysis is a way to ensure that the operator of the system can reliably track any alteration, overwriting or deletion of the data of a determination. |

21 CFR Part 11 Check list English



Page 3 /15

| Run no. | Ref. | Торіс | Question | Yes | No | Comments |
|------------|------------------|---|---|-----|----|---|
| 1.5 | <u>11.10 (c)</u> | Electronic Record, Retention Period, Archiving | Are the records readily retrievable throughout their retention period? | X/O | | The operator is solely responsible for record storage/archiving. The system can store the records permanently. Additionally the entire data can be backed-up or a selection of single items (e. g. libraries or projects). Also data can be preserved by creating printouts on paper or PDF files. The method used for archiving data, together with the definition which data to be archived, must be defined by the operator. Interfaces for archiving are present in the system. |
| 1.6 | <u>11.10 (d)</u> | Login, Access Protection, Authorization User, Administrator | Is the system access limited to authorized individuals? | X | | The system provides a login system with four internal access levels (System Manager, Developer, RA Operator and Operator). The person responsible for the system (administrator) must ensure that access rights are granted to authorize persons only. |
| 1.7 | <u>11.10 (e)</u> | Audit Trail, Electronic Record, Operator Entries | Is there a secure, computer generated, time stamped audit trail that records the date and time of operator entries and actions that create, modify, or delete electronic records? Does the audit trail (mandatorily) collect the reason for a record change or deletion? | x | | All relevant operator entries (instrument configuration, spectra, sample selection, operations methods, results, libraries, equations, security settings) are recorded in an automatically generated audit trail together with date, time with difference to UTC and user ID. The audit trail is stored internally. The audit trail can be examined within the software. The timestamp is derived from the (local) system time which needs to be protected against unauthorized modifications with administrative means (i. e. access control). |
| 1.8 | <u>11.10 (e)</u> | Electronic Record, Overwriting data, Change | Upon making a change to an electronic record, is previously recorded information still available (i.e. not obscured by the change)? | x | | If data is altered and saved, a new version will be created automatically. The previously recorded information is displayed in the audit trail. Note: If printouts exist of the electronic record, organizational safeguards have to be implemented to ensure that, after the alteration, printouts of the respective methods and determinations can be: - identified unambiguously - referred to the correct methods and determinations. |
| 1.9 | <u>11.10 (e)</u> | Audit Trail, Retention Period | Is the audit trail of an electronic recording retrievable throughout the retention period of the respective record? | X | | The audit trail is stored internally and can be copied via backup function. The audit trail can be saved as PDF. The operator is solely responsible for storage/archiving after export. |

21 CFR Part 11 Check list English



| Run no. | Ref. | Торіс | Question | Yes | No | Comments |
|------------|------------------|---|---|-----|----|--|
| 1.10 | <u>11.10 (e)</u> | Audit Trail, FDA, Inspection | Is the audit trail available for review and copying by the FDA? | х | | The audit trail can be exported as PDF file. Thus, it is available in electronic form and on paper. |
| 1.11 | <u>11.10 (f)</u> | Control over sequence of steps, Plausibility Check, Devices | If the sequence of system steps or events is important, is this enforced by the system (e.g., as it would be the case in a process control system)? | Х | | Sequences are defined by design of the software. The user is guided through the steps. The operator is solely responsible for enforcing the steps. |
| 1.12 | <u>11.10 (g)</u> | Login, Access Protection, Authorization, User, Administrator | Does the system ensure that only authorized individuals can use the system, electronically sign records, access the operation, or computer system input or output device, alter a record, or perform other operations? | x | | The user is identified by the system's login function. (The person responsible for the system (administrator) must ensure that access rights are granted to authorized persons only.) The administrator function can be clearly separated from user roles, see also 11.10 (d), No. 1.6. |
| 1.13 | <u>11.10 (h)</u> | Balance, Connection, Terminals, Input data, Devices | Does the system control validity of the connected devices? If it is a requirement of the system that input data or instructions can only come from certain input devices (e.g., terminals) does the system check the validity of the source of any data or instructions received? (Note: This applies where data or instructions can come from more than one device, and therefore the system must verify the integrity of its source, such as a network of weigh scales, or remote, radio controlled terminals). | x | | Metrohm NIR spectroscopy instruments are recognized, their validity is checked and they are entered in the list of devices. Qualification of the connected instruments is carried out as part of the system validation (see also 11.10 (a), No. 1.1) which is part of the operator's responsibility. |
| 1.14 | <u>11.10 (i)</u> | Training, Support, User, Administrator | Is there documented training, including on the job training for system users, developers, IT support staff? | X/O | | The operator is responsible for user training and the supporting staff. Metrohm offers standard training courses for all application fields. Individual training courses can be arranged separately. Metrohm's product developers and service personnel receive further training on regular intervals. |
| 1.15 | <u>11.10 (j)</u> | Policy, Responsibility, Electronic Signature | Is there a written policy that makes individuals fully accountable and responsible for actions initiated under their electronic signatures? | 0 | | If an electronic signature is used, the operator must have a policy in place in which the equality of handwritten and electronic signatures is made clear. |

21 CFR Part 11 Check list English



Page 5 /15

| Run no. | Ref. | Торіс | Question | Yes | No | Comments |
|------------|------------------|--|---|-----|----|--|
| 1.16 | <u>11.10 (k)</u> | Documentation, Distribution of Documentation, Access to Documentation, System Documentation, Logbook, Manuals | Is the distribution of, access to, and use of systems operation and maintenance documentation controlled? | 0 | | The system has a comprehensive online help system that supports the user and the service personnel. Distribution of paper-based documentation is in the responsibility of the operator. |
| 1.17 | <u>11.10 (k)</u> | SOP, Documentation, Manuals, System Documentation, Audit Trail , Logbook | Is there a formal change control procedure for system documentation that maintains a time sequenced audit trail (= version history) for creation and modification? | X/O | | The system documentation is unambiguously assigned to a particular system and software version. Release notes are kept with each software version, document changes can be derived from the entries there. However, the operator must maintain records about documentation and system changes – e. g. in the device logbook. Templates of these documents are supplied by Metrohm. |



2 Additional Procedures and Controls for Open Systems

| Run no. | Ref. | Торіс | Question | Yes | No | Comments |
|------------|--------------|------------------------------------|--|-----|----|---|
| 2.1 | <u>11.30</u> | Data, Encryption, Data Transfer | Can methods and determinations be sent securely to another system? Is data encrypted? | N/A | | Access to Vision Software via the Internet is not provided. |
| 2.2 | <u>11.30</u> | Electronic Signature | Are digital signatures used to authenticate involved parties? | N/A | | Access to Vision Software via the Internet is not provided. |



3 Signed Electronic Records

| Run no. | Ref. | Торіс | Question | Yes | No | Comments |
|------------|--------------|----------------------|--|-----|----|--|
| 3.1 | <u>11.50</u> | Electronic Signature | Do signed electronic records contain the following related information? The printed name of signer The date and time of signing The meaning of the signing (such as approval, review, responsibility) | X | | Regarding methods and determinations, all signatures contain the full name of the signer, date and time of the signature and the meaning (out of pre-defined values) of the signature. |
| 3.2 | <u>11.50</u> | Electronic Signature | Is the above information shown on displayed and printed copies of the electronic record? | Х | | Full signature data is displayed and shown on printouts. |
| 3.3 | <u>11.70</u> | Electronic Signature | Are signatures linked to their respective electronic records to ensure that they cannot be cut, copied, or otherwise transferred by ordinary means for the purpose of falsification? | X | | The signature is securely linked to the respective diagnosis test or determination. Signature elements cannot be cut, copied or transferred by ordinary means. |



4 Electronic Signature (General)

| Run no. | Ref. | Торіс | Question | Yes | No | Comments |
|------------|-------------------|---|---|-----|----|---|
| 4.1 | <u>11.100 (a)</u> | Electronic Signature | Are electronic signatures unique to an individual? | X | | Each user gets a unique user ID. It must operationally be ensured, that user IDs are assigned to a single person instead of a user group (i. e. group account). The system monitors the unambiguousness of the user ID. |
| 4.2 | <u>11.100 (a)</u> | Electronic Signature | Are electronic signatures ever reused by, or reassigned to, anyone else? | 0 | | The user ID is assigned to one person. It must operationally be ensured, that this user ID is not re-assigned to another person. An existing user account can be removed, but remains in the audit trail. Thus, the existing account cannot be reused. |
| 4.3 | <u>11.100 (a)</u> | Electronic Signature, Representative | Does the system allow the transfer of the authorization for electronic signatures (to representatives)? | 0 | | Secure and traceable user rights management is in the responsibility of the operator. The assignment of representatives is part of the regular user management and has to be carried out by the administrator. An internal procedure has to be in place for this. |
| 4.4 | <u>11.100 (b)</u> | Electronic Signature | Is the identity of an individual verified before an electronic signature is assigned? | 0 | | With the initial assignment of signing rights to a user, the identity of the respective person has to be verified against the user rights request. |

21 CFR Part 11 Check list English

Metrohm No. 8.105.4006EN Page 9/15

5 Electronic Signatures (Non-biometric)

| Run no. | Ref. | Торіс | Question | Yes | No | Comments |
|------------|-------------------------------|--|---|------------------|----|--|
| 5.1 | <u>11.200 (a)</u> (1)(i) | Electronic Signature | Is the signature made up of at least two components, such as an identification code and password, or an ID card and password? | х | | The signing function is carried out with user ID and password. |
| 5.2 | <u>11.200 (a)</u> (1)(ii) | Electronic Signature | When several signings are made during a continuous session, is the password executed at each signing? (Note: both components must be executed at the first signing of a session). | X | | The password has to be entered with each signature (there are no line of signings in a continuous session). |
| 5.3 | <u>11.200 (a)</u> (1)(iii) | Electronic Signature | If signings are not done in a continuous session, are both components of the electronic signature executed with each signing? | (X) ¹ | | The password has to be entered with each signature. The user ID is remembered and not entered again (only in signing signature level 2). |
| 5.4 | <u>11.200 (a)</u> (2) | Electronic Signature | Are non-biometric signatures only used by their genuine owners? | 0 | | The operator has to ensure that a user uses his/her credentials only. |
| 5.5 | <u>11.200 (a)</u> (3) | Electronic Signature, Falsify Electronic Signature | Would an attempt to falsify an electronic signature require the collaboration of at least two individuals? | х | | Nobody has access to the electronic signature data by ordinary means. |

¹ The automatic setting of the user ID is considered acceptable since the user ID is typically not a secret.

21 CFR Part 11 Check list English



6 Electronic Signatures (biometric)

| Run | Ref. | Торіс | Question | Yes | No | Comments |
|-----|-------------------|--|---|-----|----|---|
| no. | | | | | | |
| 6.1 | <u>11.200 (b)</u> | Electronic Signature, Biometric Electronic Signature | Has it been shown that biometric electronic signatures can be used by their genuine owner only? | N/A | | Electronic signature is not based on biometric means. |

7 Controls for Identification Codes and Passwords

| Run no. | Ref. | Торіс | Question | Yes | No | Comments |
|------------|-------------------|--|---|-----|----|---|
| 7.1 | <u>11.300 (a)</u> | Identification Code, Uniqueness, Password, Identification, Login, Access Protection | Are controls in place to maintain the uniqueness of each combined identification code and password, such that no individual can have the same combination of identification code and password? | x | | The system ensures that each user ID is used only once within the system and therefore each combination of identification code and password can also exist only once. Alterations of names must be managed by the operator. |
| | | | | | | It is recommended that unambiguous identification codes (e.g. personnel number or initials) are used for all systems across the whole organization. |
| | | | | | | In general, it is recommended that guidelines are drawn up for the whole organization in which the creation of user accounts and the use of passwords (length, period of validity) are defined. |
| 7.2 | <u>11.300 (b)</u> | Identification Code, Password, Validity, | Are procedures in place to ensure that the validity of identification code is periodically checked? | 0 | | The operator is responsible for checking the identification codes periodically. |
| | | Identification, Login, Access Protection | | | | There is system support by a function which allows the administrator to print out a list of all the registered users. In addition, the password expiry time can be configured. |
| 7.3 | <u>11.300 (b)</u> | Password, Validity, Password Expiry, Identification, Login, Access Protection | Do passwords periodically expire and need to be revised? | x | | The validity period of the password can be defined by the administrator. After this period is expired, the user is forced to change his/her password. The system saves the password history and prevents the user from re-using a password. The size of this password history (i. e. number of password which |
| | | | | | | are not allowed to be reused) can be configured. |
| 7.4 | <u>11.300 (b)</u> | Identification Code, Password, Validity, Disable User Access, Identification, Login, Access Protection | Is there a procedure for recalling identification codes and passwords if a person leaves or is transferred? | 0 | | The procedure has to be set up by the operator. The corresponding user account can be disabled in the system by the administrator, but remains saved in the system. |

21 CFR Part 11 Check list English



| Run no. | Ref. | Торіс | Question | Yes | No | Comments |
|------------|-------------------|--|--|-----|----|--|
| 7.5 | <u>11.300 (c)</u> | Identification Code, Password, Validity, Disable User Access, Identification, Login, Access Protection, Loss of ID card | Is there a procedure for electronically disabling an identification code or password if it is potentially compromised or lost? | 0 | | The procedure has to be set up by the operator. The administrator can disable the corresponding user account in the system. |
| 7.6 | <u>11.300 (c)</u> | Loss of / compromised ID card, Electronically Disabling ID card | Is there a procedure for electronically disabling a device if it is lost, or stolen, or potentially compromised? | N/A | | There is no hardware token or device for user identification. |
| 7.7 | <u>11.300 (c)</u> | ID card, Replacement | Are there controls over the temporary or permanent replacement of a device? | N/A | | There is no hardware token or device for user identification. |
| 7.8 | <u>11.300 (d)</u> | Unauthorized Use, Login, Access Protection | Are there security safeguards in place to prevent and/or detect attempts of unauthorized use of user identification or password? | X/O | | After <i>n</i> incorrect attempts (number can be defined by the administrator) a message is displayed, saying that the maximum number of unsuccessful login attempts has been reached and the user account is disabled permanently or a grace period begins (configured by the administrator). |
| | | | | | | The audit trail records login attempts with a wrong user ID – password combination; this can be checked by the responsible system administrator. |
| 7.9 | <u>11.300 (d)</u> | Unauthorized Use, Login, Access | Is there a procedure in place to inform the responsible management about unauthorized use | 0 | | The audit trail displays unsuccessful login attempts which can be checked by the responsible system administrator. |
| | | Protection, Inform management | of user identification or password? | | | The procedure to inform the security authority has to be implemented by the operator. |
| 7.10 | <u>11.300 (e)</u> | Testing of ID cards, ID card, Access Protection | Is there initial and periodic testing of tokens and cards? | N/A | | There is no hardware token or device for user identification. |
| 7.11 | <u>11.300 (e)</u> | Modification of ID cards, ID card, Unauthorized Use, Access Protection | Does this testing check that there have been no unauthorized alterations? | N/A | | There is no hardware token or device for user identification. |

O = Implementation is in the operator's responsibility

N/A = Not Applicable to the system





No. 8.105.4006EN Page 13 /15

This 21 CFR Part 11 assessment is based on an on-site audit performed January the 13th 2017. Subject of this audit was the software version 4.1 with all compliance features enabled.

21 CFR Part 11 Check list English

Metrohm

No. 8.105.4006EN Page 14 /15

8 Indices

Reference to the page number:

A

| Access Protection | .3, 4, 11, 12 |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| Access to Documentation | |
| Administrator | |
| Archiving | |
| Audit Trail | 2, 3, 4, 5 |
| Authorization | |

В

| Balance | 4 |
|--------------------------------|----|
| Biometric Electronic Signature | 10 |

С

| Change | 2, 3 |
|---------------------|------|
| Compromised ID card | |
| Connection | 4 |

D

| Data | 6 |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| Data Transfer | 6 |
| Devices | 4 |
| Disable User Access | 11, 12 |
| Distribution of Documentation | 5 |
| Documentation | 5 |

Ε

| Electronic Record | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|
| Electronic Signature | 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10 |
| Electronically Disabling ID card | |
| Encryption | 6 |

F

| Falsify Electronic Signature FDA | 9 2,4 |
|--|----------|
| I | |
| ID card Identification Identification Code Inform management Input data Inspection IQ2 | |
| 1 | |

1

| Logbook | 5 |
|-----------------|---|
| Login | |
| Loss of ID card | |

М

| Manuals | 5 |
|----------------------------|---|
| Modification of ID cards 1 | 2 |

0

| Operator Entries | 3 |
|------------------|---|
| 0Q | 2 |
| Overwriting data | 3 |
| | |

Ρ

| Password | 11, 12 |
|--------------------|--------|
| Password Expiry | 11 |
| Plausibility check | 4 |

| Policy | 4 |
|----------|---|
| Printout | 2 |

R

| Replacement | 12 |
|------------------|----|
| Report | |
| Representative | 8 |
| Responsibility | 4 |
| Retention Period | 3 |

S

| Sequence | |
|----------------------|---|
| Sequence of steps | 4 |
| SOP | 5 |
| Support | |
| System Documentation | 5 |
| | |

Τ

| Terminals | 4 |
|---------------------|----|
| Testing of ID cards | 12 |
| Training | |

U

| Jnauthorized Use | 12 |
|------------------|------|
| Jniqueness | 11 |
| Jser | 3, 4 |
| | |

V

| Validation | 2 |
|------------|--------|
| Validity | 12 |

21 CFR Part 11 Check list English

<u>Metrohm</u> No. 8.105.4006EN

Page 15 /15

Reference to the run number of the entry:

A

| Access Protection7.11, 7.1 | 0, 7.9, 7.8, 7.6, 7.5, 7.4, |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 7.3, 7.2, 7.1, 1.12, 1.6 | |
| Access to Documentation | |
| Administrator | |
| Archiving | 1.5 |
| Audit Trail | 1.17, 1.10, 1.9, 1.7, 1.2 |
| Authorization | |

В

| Balance | .1.13 |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| Biometric Electronic Signature | 6.1 |

С

| Change | 1.8, 1.2 |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| Compromised ID card | |
| Connection | 1.13 |
| Control over sequence of steps | 1.11 |

D

| Data | 2.1 |
|-------------------------------|------------|
| Data Transfer | 2.1 |
| Devices | 1.13, 1.11 |
| Disable User Access | 7.5, 7.4 |
| Distribution of Documentation | 1.16 |
| Documentation | 1.17, 1.16 |

Ε

| Electronic Record | |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Electronic Signature 6.1, 5.5 | , 5.4, 5.3, 5.2, 5.1, 4.4, 4.3, |
| 4.2, 4.1, 3.3, 3.2, 3.1, 2.2, | 1.15 |
| Electronically Disabling ID c | ard7.6 |

| Encryption | 2.1 |
|------------------------------|---------|
| F | |
| Falsify Electronic Signature | 5.5 |
| 1 | |

| ID card | |
|---------------------|--|
| Identification | |
| Identification Code | |
| Inform management | |
| Input data | |
| Inspection | |
| IQ1.1 | |

L

| Logbook | 1.17, 1.16 |
|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Login | 7.4, 7.3, 7.2, 7.1, 1.12, 1.6 |
| Loss of ID card | |

М

| Manuals | 1.17, | 1.16 |
|--------------------------|-------|--------|
| Modification of ID cards | | . 7.11 |

0

| Operator Entries | 1.7 |
|------------------|-----|
| 0Q | 1.1 |
| Overwriting data | 1.8 |

Ρ

| Password. | | | 7.5, | 7.4, | 7.3, | 7.2, | 7.1 | |
|-----------|--------|------|----------|------|------|------|-----|--|
| Password | Expiry | | | | | | 7.3 | |

| Plausibility Check | 1.11 |
|--------------------|------|
| Policy | 1.15 |
| Printout | 1.3 |

R

| Replacement | 7.7 |
|------------------|----------|
| Report | 1.4, 1.3 |
| Representative | 4.3 |
| Responsibility | 1.15 |
| Retention Period | 1.9, 1.5 |

S

| Sequence | 1.11 |
|----------------------|------------|
| SOP | 1.17 |
| Support | 1.14 |
| System Documentation | 1.17, 1.16 |

Τ

| Terminals | 1.13 |
|---------------------|------|
| Testing of ID cards | 7.10 |
| Training | 1.14 |

U

| Unauthorized Use | |
|------------------|-----------------|
| Uniqueness | 7.1 |
| User | 1.14, 1.12, 1.6 |
| V | |

| Validation | 1.1 |
|------------|--------------------|
| Validity | 7.5, 7.4, 7.3, 7.2 |